

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/842,022	Applicant(s) TOMIOKA, SATOSHI
	Examiner Mathieu D. Vargot	Art Unit 1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Kananen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

That applied

Claims discussed:

11-25

Prior art documents discussed:

That applied

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Kananen agreed to insert the aspect of the curvature of the substrate being .03 cm⁻¹ or less into claim 11 and thus bring claims 11-25 into the same general scope as claims 1-10, such being allowable over the art for reasons generally advanced by applicant. Claim 22, which contained the recitation, was cancelled--see attached Examiner's amendment..